

CD 11/20

Karen Park

From: Bhagat Hundal [bhundal@acs.uk.com]
Sent: 17 November 2010 09:01
To: Ian Motuel; Programme Officer
Subject: Hearing Questions

Dear Mr. Motuel,

Further your site visit with the Inspector, please find below a list of question that I will be asking at the hearing. As you were not the officer involved in the March 1999 local plan, you may wish to consult Mr Beckford or others for the answers. I may have additional question which may arise fro your answers.

Question 1:

Reference: - Letter of Mr. Beckford to Mr. Dominic Grieve dated 17th December 2008.

In paragraph 5, Mr Beckford states the following: -

“The Local Plan was the subject of a through review in the 1990’s, to prepare an updated Plan which met new Government guidance and which provided a more through policy framework with much greater guidance. The new Plan in the course of preparation was the South Bucks District Local Plan. This set out the Green Belt boundary at a scale of 1:10,000. This first version of that Plan was the Draft for Public Consultation version, published in April 1995. That Plan showed the boundary of the Green Belt being along the rear (southern) wall of the dwelling known as Fourells”.

The question for you or Mr. Beckford is: -

Is Mr. Beckford implying (stating) that the location of the Green Belt when drawn at a scale 1:10,000 was depicting the location as it was on the adopted Plan of 1989?

Question 2:

Reference: - Comment by you that Mr. Beckford’s interpretation of where the Green Belt boundary is correct and the Mr. Mark Weingarth is incorrect in his letters to me dated 9th and 21st February 2006.

The question for you or the team is: -

The maps used in the “South Buck Urban Capacity (Potential Study 2003-2006), published June 2006” and “Housing Land Availability Assessment May 2008” both showed the boundary at the same location as Mr. Weingarth. Is the council saying that the officers responsible for drawing these boundaries are also wrong like Mr. Weingarth.

Question 3:

Reference: - Letter of Mr. Ian Hawkins to Christopher Moore dated 6 June 2003 (appendix 4) and the Inspector Report (appendix 3) sent on Monday.

The question for you or Mr. Beckford is: -

Mr. Hawkins clearly show the event that took place to change the green belt boundary. What exceptional circumstance where there to include all other cartilage land to the rear of the dwelling into the green belt, when the inspectors report had already concluded that there we no exceptional circumstance to amend the green belt.

Summary:

The case example sent in Monday's e-mail Appendix 2, paragraph 40, summarise clearly that the council did make an error and left me without any amenity space outside the green belt and defined the boundary excessively tightly. If the council acknowledge the error, we can avoid unnecessarily further arguments at the hearing and let the inspector provide recommendations on a clearly definable boundary and include all the dwelling on the South East of Old Slade Lane and Richings Way into the settlement of Richings Park. This would bring the boundary in line with the South West of Old Salde Lane and Richings Ways/North Park.

Ms Park, can you please pass a copy to the inspector for reference.

Kind Regards
Bhagat S. Hundal
Fouralls Paddock
Richings Way
Iver

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.