

 <p>South Bucks District Council</p>	<p>South Bucks Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD)</p> <p>Publication Stage Representation Form</p>	<p>Ref: 1 (For official use only)</p>
--	--	---

Name of the DPD to which this representation relates:

Please return to South Bucks District Council, by 5pm on Wednesday 12th May 2010
 By post to: Planning Policy Manager, South Bucks District Council, Capswood, Oxford Road, Denham, FREEPOST SCE9630, UB9 4BR or
 By e-mail to: LDF@southbucks.gov.uk or
 By fax to: 01895 837269
 If you have any queries, please contact the Planning Policy Team on 01895 837200.

This form has two parts -
 Part A - Personal Details
 Part B - Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details* See note below	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
--------------------------------------	------------------------------------

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

Title	<input type="text" value="Mr"/>	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text" value="Julian"/>	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text" value="Wheeler"/>	<input type="text"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>	
Line 2	<input type="text"/>	
Line 3	<input type="text"/>	
Line 4	<input type="text"/>	
Post Code	<input type="text"/>	
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>	
E-mail Address (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	



Important: Please note that your comments and personal details will be available for public inspection and therefore cannot be treated as confidential. Please make sure that you only give information that you are happy for others to see.

Part B - Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the DPD does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the DPD is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4.(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="No"/>

If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.

5. Do you consider the DPD is unsound because it is not:

(1) Justified	<input type="text" value="No"/>
(2) Effective	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>
(3) Consistent with national policy	<input type="text" value="No"/>

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 3.2.54 makes reference to congestion in Beaconsfield between the Pyebush roundabout and the Amersham Road, to the east of Beaconsfield Old Town. This was a big problem more than 10 years ago, but little has been done to alleviate the situation. The problem is now extremely severe. During morning rush hour it typically takes me up to 45 minutes to reach the Pyebush roundabout from my home in Beaconsfield New Town, a distance of about 1.5-2 miles. The congestion is probably worse than that experienced in the most congested parts of London. The DPD makes reference to work being carried out to ease the congestion, with the possibility of a relief road being built towards the end of the planning period in 2026. The reality of the situation is that we long ago reached a point where tinkering with the existing road layout could have any significant effect on the congestion levels now experienced, and it is critically essential that a relief road is built as soon as possible. The problem has undoubtedly been made worse by the significant increase in the population of Beaconsfield in recent years as a direct result of new housing developments. It is utterly inconceivable that any more new homes should be built in Beaconsfield, as proposed in paragraph 3.2 51, until an effective solution to the problem of traffic congestion has been implemented.

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

For the DPD to be sound, it must state specifically what measures will be taken to alleviate the congestion, when they will be implemented, and what effects the measures will have (not merely refer to another document). If the council considers that there are short term measures that can be taken, the DPD should state what they are and the extent to which they will relieve congestion, bearing in mind the number of new housing developments planned for Beaconsfield. The DPD should also state what other options there are to building an A355/A40 relief road, and if there are none, it should state explicitly that subject to the usual planning requirements a relief road will be built, and when. The current wording is very vague indeed.

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have i
examination. he oral part of the*

Signature:

] Date: 12 April 2010

Thank you for you

We also encourage you to complete and return the Equal Opportunities Monitoring form to help us to monitor inclusivity. The information collected on this form will not be attributed to you, as you don't have to provide your personal details.